

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address : COMMISSIONER CF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
07/036,124	04/07/87	ATSUM.		Т.	XX.
LARSON AND	TAYLOR	er emitre	7	BENSON	EXAMINER

FLARSON AND TAYLOR 727 TWENTY-THIRD STREET SOUTH ARLINGTON, VA 22202 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 02/10/88

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

uhba	V				
This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 47/87	_ AThis action is made final.				
A shall telled statutory period for response to this section is set to expire	rom the date of this letter. .C. 133				
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: L Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474 6. Notice of informal P	aving, PTO-948. atent Application, Form PTO-152				
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION					
1. X Claims 1-16, 18 and 19	are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claims	are withdrawn from consideration.				
2. Claims	have been cancelled.				
	are allowed.				
1. [X] Claims 1-4, 6-13, 15, 16, 18 and 19	are rejected				
4. [X] Claims	are rejected.				
s. Of Claims 5 and 14	are objected to.				
6. Claims are subject	ct to restriction or election requirement.				
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination pu matter is indicated.	rposes until such time as allowable subject				
8. Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to thi	Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.				
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on These not acceptable (see explanation).	drawings areacceptable;				
10. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanate	The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).				
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed	insibility to ensure that the drawings are				
12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has	been received not been received				
been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prose accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	cution as to the ments is closed in				
14. Other					



Serial No. 036,124
Art Unit 128

1. Claims 1, 8, 9 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, as the claimed invention is not described in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the same, and/or for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following reasons apply:

- 1. In claim 1, line 7, applicants have left in the words "A is", so that the claim will read", A is \underline{A} is ...". (paragraph).
- 2. In claim 1, line 5 of page 2, the phrase "bearing no or a further lower alkyl substituent" is awkward language. Also the claim is indefinite because no counterion for the thiazolio moiety is give. The examiner suggests the following wording: "or a 3-lower-alkylthiazolio group, optionally substituted with one lower alkyl group, with a halide counterion,". (pagagraph 2).
- 3. Claim 19 is indefinite because a) in line 2, the substituted vinyl group should be in the 3 position not the 2 position and b) in line 3, "syn" is misspelled. (paragraph 2).
- 4. Claim 9, in line 6, still says "and its trifluoroacetate" and thus is indefinite because it is not known if this means the salt or ester. (paragraph 2).
- The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed October

Serial No. 036,124

Art Unit 128

- 12, 1986 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-4, 6-13, 15, 16, 18 and 19 based upon Farge as set forth in the last Office action because the declaration is not probative, in that applicants have not compared against the closest compounds of Farge, those of examples 2, 40 and 49.
- 3. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed October 12, 1986 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10 and 18 based upon Beattie in view of Berger, Farge, Furlenmeit and further in view of Dunn as set forth in the last Office action because applicants have not compared against Beattie's compounds of examples 6 7 and 16.
- 4. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed October 12, 1986 is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 4, 11-16, and 19 based upon Beattie in view of Berger, Farge, Furlenmeier and further in view of Dunn.
- 5. Claims 5 and 14 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier application S.N. 769,740. All rejected claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds or art of record in the next Office action if they had been

Art Unit 128

entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS

ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in
this case. See MPEP 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Robert Benson at telephone number 703-557-3920.

02/04/88;rbb

Robert 7. Wenden

ROBERT J. WARDEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 128